

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯವರು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ತಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇವಲ ಪೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್ಡ್ ಕೋಮುಗಳವರ ಮತ್ತು ಹಿಂದುಳಿದವರ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಗಮನದಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಈಗ ತಾವು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವ ಈ ಎರಡು ಬಗೆಯ ವಿಚಾರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದು ಸರಿ; ಯಾವುದು ನಿಜ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರವೇ ನಾವೀಗ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು. ಆದರೆ ಜಾತಿಯ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೆಲಸ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ನೀತಿಯಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಈಗ ಸರ್ವಿಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಕೋಮುಗಳವರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯವೇ ದೊರೆತಿಲ್ಲ, ಮತ್ತು ಕೆಲವರಿಗೆ ತೀರಾ ಕಡಮೆ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯ ದೊರೆತು; ಮತ್ತು ಕೆಲವರಿಗೆ ಶೇಕಡಾ 80-96 ರಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯ ದೊರೆಯುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಯಾವ ನೀತಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಕ್ಕೂ ಮತ್ತು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೂ ಯಾವ ಭಿನ್ನಾಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೂ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಆ 1944 ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಸರಿಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—1944ರ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರವೇ ಶೇಕಡಾ 50 ರಷ್ಟು ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಮೂಲಕವೂ ಮತ್ತು ಶೇಕಡಾ 50ರಷ್ಟು ಹುದ್ದೆಯನ್ನು ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟ್ ರೆಕ್ರೂಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮೂಲಕವೂ ಮತ್ತು ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟ್ ರೆಕ್ರೂಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡಾ 18 ರಷ್ಟು ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳನ್ನು ಪೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್ಡ್ ಪಂಗಡಗಳವರಿಗೂ ಮತ್ತು ಉಳಿದವರಲ್ಲಿ 4/5 ಭಾಗದಷ್ಟು ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ಪಂಗಡದವರಿಗೂ ವಿಾನಲಾಗಿರುವಂತೆ ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ತಾವು 1955 ರಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡ ಪೊಬ್ಲೀಷನರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ, 28 ಜನ ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರುಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ 14 ಜನರನ್ನು ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ಪಂಗಡಗಳಿಂದಲೂ, 14 ಜನರನ್ನು ಮುಂದುವರಿದ ಪಂಗಡದಿಂದಲೂ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I want notice.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಹೋದವರ್ಷ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಾಗಲೂ ಕೂಡ ಅವರೂ 35, ಇವರೂ 35 ಹೀಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇದು ಸರಿಯೇ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I have no objection to give the information to the Hon'ble Member but unfortunately I have not got the information with me here. If you give notice, I will be glad to give the information.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಬಹು ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ unequal ಆಗಿದೆ. ಕೆಲವು ಕೋಮುಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯವೇ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇದನ್ನು ಸರಿಪಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನು

ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಿ? ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರಿಪಡಿಸುತ್ತೀ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ ಆಗಿರುವ ಸರ್ಯಾರಿ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಈಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯ ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಬಹುದು ಎಂಬ ಅಂದಾಜನ್ನು ತಾವೇ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು.

### Lakshmiddevamma Shankara Chetty Hospital in Mysore City.

Q.—141. Sri K. PUTTASWAMY (Srirangapatna).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) when the Lakshmiddevamma Shankara Chetty Hospital in Mysore was opened;

(b) the bed strength of the hospital;

(c) the staff provided;

(d) whether any quarters are provided for any members of the staff within the hospital premises;

(e) whether there is a kitchen attached to the hospital;

(f) the number of in-patients that have got the facility of this hospital since it was started up to this date;

(g) whether any representations are made bringing to the notice of the Medical Department the necessity for improving this hospital;

(h) what steps have been taken to make this hospital a useful one to the public?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(a) 25th October 1953.

(b) 28.

(c) Assistant Surgeon, Grade I	1
Lady Assistant Surgeon,	
Grade III	1
II Grade Nurses	3
Midwives	2
Woman Compounder	1
Sweepers	2
Ward Attendants	2
Peons	2
Dhoby	1
Cook	1

(SRI T. CHANNIAH.)

(d) No.

(e) No.

(f) 371.

(g) Yes.

(h) The Executive Engineer, Nanjangud Division, Mysore, has been addressed to get up estimates for putting up a kitchen block and quarters for the Nursing Staff.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—What is the staff now provided?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—It is mentioned in the list given in the answer.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—What is this Assistant Surgeon, Grade I?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Out of the list, Assistant Surgeon, Grade I is in regard to the sanctioned strength because it is purely meant for maternity section. Instead of male Assistant Surgeon, Grade I a Lady Assistant Surgeon, Grade I has been appointed.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Is it not a fact that both Assistant Surgeons are ladies?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes; because it is a maternity hospital meant for ladies only.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Is it not a fact that it is not an out and out maternity hospital but it is a combined hospital?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—According to my information it is mainly meant as a maternity hospital.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I have given notice of the question. The fact whether it is a combined hospital or a maternity hospital is very important to me so that I may put proper supplementary questions. If the Government are not able to tell me whether it is a combined hospital or maternity hospital. I think it is much better I seek the protection of the Chair and the Speaker will have to come to my rescue.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Government will supply the information whether it is a combined hospital or a maternity hospital.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—When administrative sanction for the construction of the hospital was given, it was given for a combined hospital. But later on it

was thought that only a maternity hospital was enough.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I know when the Government thought that a maternity hospital would be sufficient instead of a combined hospital?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Ever since the inception of the hospital, a maternity section was thought of as enough.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Is not the hospital being worked as a combined hospital? Though a male Assistant Surgeon, Grade I is given to it, a lady doctor has been appointed in his stead and by this has not the utility of the hospital been reduced?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Since there is a major medical institution in Mysore, namely, the Krishnarajendra Hospital, meant both for males and females, it was thought that for the extension the maternity section was enough and would serve the purpose there. That is why they did not include a combined hospital and only a maternity section was thought of.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Is not the Municipal Council, Mysore, giving a grant for running this hospital?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Notice.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I know whether the Municipal Council has been asked to give grant on the condition that the Government would run a combined hospital?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I want notice for that.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Was not a Local Fund Dispensary converted into this hospital? Was not a Local Fund Dispensary working already in that place?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Notice.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—What steps have the Government taken on the complaints preferred that the hospital would not serve its purpose?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The hospital is serving its purpose, namely, to have a female ward only for the maternity section. The usefulness of the hospital is this: for an extension like that a maternity ward was thought of desirable

though the intention of the donor was to start a combined hospital in that area. Government in the first instance sanctioned a combined hospital. But later on it was proposed that instead of a combined hospital, since the K.R.S. Hospital is located in Mysore, a maternity hospital was enough. Therefore, from 1953, ever since it was started, it was started only as a maternity section and not as a combined hospital.

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.**—May I know who proposed the conversion of this combined hospital into only a maternity section?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—The same question is put in a different form and the same answer is given. The answer to this question is quite clear—the department thought it necessary to run a maternity section only and therefore they have converted it into a maternity hospital.

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.**—May I again seek the permission of the Chair? When the Municipal Council agreed to give a grant on a certain condition, I do not know how the Government could have converted the combined hospital into a maternity section only.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I understand the legitimate grievance of the Hon'ble Member. But you can take another opportunity to discuss the subject matter of the question. You have now elicited information. That is enough for the present.

**Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.**—Evidently the Minister has not all the information. So I am again seeking the protection of the Chair that either the Minister should be able to give me full information or the Chair may be pleased to fix up some other time when he can give me all the information I want.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—The Hon'ble Member can ask for half hour debate on this subject.

**Sri T. CHANNIAH.**—I am prepared to answer the member. There is no necessity for a combined hospital in that area.

1 P.M.

### Dispensaries in Chitaldrug District (Donation for Construction)

**Q.—172. Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they have received any donations for the construction of dispensaries in Chitaldrug District ;

(b) if so, when and what action has been taken ?

**Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).**—

(a) Yes.

(b) 1. Rs. 8,355-1-0 by Sri M. Thimmappa for the construction of a dispensary building at Malladihalli, Holalkere Taluk.

2. Rs. 3,000 by the public of Yethinaveeraiahana Kote, Hiriya Taluk.

3. Rs. 7,674-10-3 by Sri Sahukar Marulappa for a dispensary building at Ramagiri, Holalkere Taluk.

In 1 above, the construction work was ordered to be taken up by Public Works Agency. The donor has represented that he may be permitted to take up the construction work and the question is under consideration.

In 2 above, the proposal to open a dispensary has been deferred.

In 3 above, Government have permitted the donor to take up the construction work under the supervision of the Public Works Department.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—May I know, Sir, whether Government are aware that the people of Belaghatta of Tiptur Taluk have deposited Rs. 7,000 for constructing a hospital there?

**Sri T. CHANNIAH.**—These are the only three places where we have received donation. We have no further information.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—The Hon'ble Minister is not in possession of full information, Sir. That is why I wanted to draw his attention. May I know whether the people of Yethinaveeriahana Kote, Hiriya Taluk, have deposited Rs. 3,000, a sum of